(if different from order date)

FILED S. DISTRICT COURT OURT!AH DIV.
D8 DEC -4 AM 9: 45
SO. DIST. OF GA.
0148-001
21
o 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) ons the court under 18 U.S.C. deline sentencing range that has Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
entence of imprisonment (as reflected in acced to
Level: 31 Category: VI ne Range: 188 to 235 months

Printed name and title

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF DIV.		
for		
Southern Distr	ict of Georgia	
Savannah United States of America v.)	SO. DIST. OF GA.	
Andrew Chapman	Case No: CR499-00148-001 USM No: 10227-021	
Date of Previous Judgment: March 28, 2000) (Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable)	George B. Hagood Defendant's Attorney	
Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)		
Upon motion of X the defendant the Director of the Bureau of Prisons the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion,		
IT IS ORDERED that the motion is: X DENIED. GRANTED and the defendant's properties the last judgment issued) of	reviously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in months is reduced to	
I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Price Previous Offense Level: 31 Criminal History Category: VI Previous Guideline Range: 188 to 235 months	Amended Offense Level: 31 Criminal History Category: VI Amended Guideline Range: 188 to 235 months	
 II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range. The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range. Other (explain): 		
III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS The defendant's motion is denied because his offense level was determined pursuant to the career offender provisions of the sentencing guidelines.		
Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated March 28, 2000, shall remain in effect. IT IS SO ORDERED.		
Order Date: 12-4-08	Judge's signature	
Effective Date:	B. Avant Edenfield United States District Judge For the Southern District of Georgia	